



INDIA NON JUDICIAL



IN-UP04714228408743X

Government of Uttar Pradesh

e-Stamp

Certificate No. : IN-UP04714228408743X
Certificate Issued Date : 18-Dec-2025 11:09 AM
Account Reference : NEWIMPACC (SV)/ up16051704/ GAUTAMBUDDH NAGAR 1/ UP-GBN
Unique Doc. Reference : SUBIN-UPUP1605170406043625767869X
Purchased by : RODNEY DAVID RYDER
Description of Document : Article 19 Certificate or other Document
Property Description : Not Applicable
Consideration Price (Rs.) :
First Party : RODNEY DAVID RYDER
Second Party : Not Applicable
Stamp Duty Paid By : RODNEY DAVID RYDER
Stamp Duty Amount(Rs.) : 100
(One Hundred only)



Signature.....
Ass Name: Kapil Rajbhar
Ass Code: UP16051704
Ass. Add: Sub Registrar Office, Sector-33, Noida, (U.P.)
Mob No.: 9818786334
LIC No.: 247/

Please write or type below this line

INDRP ARBITRATION
THE NATIONAL INTERNET EXCHANGE OF INDIA [NIXI]

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION
SOLE ARBITRATOR: RODNEY D. RYDER

Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. v. Raju Nandi
INDRP Case Number - 2067

ARBITRATION AWARD
Disputed Domain Name: www.tevainternational.in

Statutory Alert:

1. The authenticity of this Stamp certificate should be verified at 'www.shoestamp.com' or using e-Stamp Mobile App of Stock Holding.
2. Any discrepancy in the details on this Certificate and as available on the website / Mobile App renders it invalid.
3. The onus of checking the legitimacy is on the users of the certificate.
3. In case of any discrepancy please inform the competent Authority.

The Parties

The Complainant in this arbitration proceeding is Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., having its registered office at 124 Dvora HaNevi'a, P.O. Box 1142, Tel Aviv-Jaffa, 6944020, Israel; represented by SILKA AB, Sweden.

The Respondent in this arbitration proceeding is Raju Nandi.

The Domain Name and Registrar

The disputed domain name is www.tevainternational.in. The Registrar with which the disputed domain name is registered is Own Web Solution Pvt. Ltd.

Procedural History [Arbitration Proceedings]

This arbitration proceeding is in accordance with the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy [INDRP], adopted by the National Internet Exchange of India ["NIXI"]. The INDRP Rules of Procedure [the Rules] were approved by NIXI on 28th June, 2005 in accordance with the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. By registering the disputed domain name with the NIXI-accredited Registrar, the Respondent agreed to the resolution of the disputes pursuant to the IN Dispute Resolution Policy and Rules framed thereunder.

According to the information provided by the National Internet Exchange of India ["NIXI"], the history of this proceeding is as follows:

In accordance with Rule 2(a), NIXI formally notified the Respondent of the Complaint, and appointed Rodney D. Ryder as the Sole Arbitrator for adjudicating upon the dispute in accordance with the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, and the Rules framed thereunder, .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy and the Rules framed thereunder. The Arbitrator submitted the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality and Independence, as required by NIXI.

The Complainant, based on various Indian and international trademark registrations across various classes of the trademark 'TEVA', and based on the use of the said trademark[s] in India, submitted that it is the sole proprietor of and has sole and exclusive rights to use the said trademark[s] 'TEVA'.

On November 20, 2025, the Respondent wrote to the Arbitrator with a request to transfer the domain name to the Complainant.

Discussion and Findings

In line with previous decisions, e.g., *Williams-Sonoma, Inc. v. EZ-Port*, WIPO Case No. D2000-0207; *Amgen Inc. v. Texas International Property Associates*, WIPO Case No. D2007-0155; *Valero Energy Corporation, Valero Refining and Marketing Company v. RareNames, WebReg*, WIPO Case No. D2006-1336; *Levantur, S.A. v. RareNames, WebReg*, WIPO Case No. D2007-0857; *Instituto del Fondo Nacional de la Vivienda para los Trabajadores v. Whois Privacy Protection Service Inc., Demand Domains, Inc.* WIPO Case No. D2007-0917; since the Respondent has consented to the transfer of the disputed domain name to the Complainant, the Panel finds that in this case there is no need to assess the facts supporting the claim.



The Respondent in this proceeding has expressly agreed in the Response to transfer – without admitting to the elements of paragraph 4 of the Policy – the disputed domain name to the Complainant upon order of the Panel. Therefore, as held in *Amgen Inc. v. Texas International Property Associates, supra*, “given Respondent’s consent to transfer, this Panel deems it appropriate to grant the request to transfer. No further consideration or discussion of the elements of the Policy is deemed necessary”, no further consideration or discussion of the Policy is deemed necessary by the Panel.

Decision

For all the foregoing reasons, in accordance with Paragraphs 4 of the Policy and 18 of the Rules, the Panel orders that the domain name, <www.tevaininternational.in>, be transferred to the Complainant.



Rodney D. Ryder
Sole Arbitrator

Date: December 20, 2025